

THE WASHINGTON STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

A high-quality education system that prepares all students for college, career, and life.

Title: Update on High Sch Participation Information	ool Assessments—10 th Grade Career- and Col	llege-ready Level and 2017
As related to:	 ☐ Goal One: Develop and support policies to close the achievement and opportunity gaps. ☐ Goal Two: Develop comprehensive accountability, recognition, and supports for students, schools, and districts. 	 ☑ Goal Three: Ensure that every student has the opportunity to meet career and college ready standards. ☐ Goal Four: Provide effective oversight of the K-12 system. ☐ Other
Relevant to Board roles:	□ Policy leadership☑ System oversight□ Advocacy	☐ Communication☐ Convening and facilitating
Policy considerations / Key questions:	Information shared with the Board during th regarding the Board's directive by the Legisla OSPI, career- and college-readiness scores ar school assessments.	ature to identify, in consultation with
Relevant to business item:	No business item is associated with this agen	nda item.
Materials included in packet:	OPSI presentation (included in Additional Ma	aterials).
Synopsis:	The Board will hear a brief introduction by st Deb Came, Assistant Superintendent, OSPI. This update is to keep the Board informed of the Smarter Balanced Consortium to prepare 10 th grade on-track for career- and college-re the State Board of Education (SBE), in consul Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI), i identifying the performance standard for 10 th college readiness by December 1, 2018.	work OSPI is doing in partnership with the to make a recommendation about the eadiness score. As directed in HB 2224, tation with the Office of the must report to the Legislature on the graders to be on-track for career and
	In addition, OSPI will report on 2017 assessmidentified scores for high school graduation of assessments in July 2015. Participation and r 2018, may be used in reviewing and possibly scores. This report is a follow-up to discussion September 2017. OSPI will also include an introduction to the least of the second score.	on the high school Smarter Balanced results data from 2017, and eventually revisiting the high school graduation on between OSPI and the SBE in
	standard setting on the Washington Compre	
	Members may wish to review the September The Board packet memo that summ Introduction PowerPoint that summ regarding HB 2224 and assessments OSPI PowerPoint on the 10 th Grade score	narizes HB 2224 narizes the Board's responsibilities

Update on High School Assessments


PRESENTATION TO THE WASHINGTON STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION NOVEMBER 8, 2017



Topics

- ☐ 10th Grade Assessments: On Track to Career and College Ready update
- 2017 Participation rate information
- 2018 plan for Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science (with Next Generation Science Standards)





Conversations with Smarter Balanced	July 2017-Oct. 2017
OSPI Internal Test Review: Item Specifications Standards Test Blueprint	July 2017– Oct. 2017
OSPI's Assessment National Technical Advisory Committee	Sept. 7–8, 2017
SBE meeting	Sept. 14, 2017
Online advisory panel of WA educators and content experts	Sept. 2017– Oct. 2017
In-person advisory workgroup of WA educators	Oct. 17–18, 2017
In-person Smarter Balanced grade 10 cut-score validation Includes educators from multiple Smarter Balanced consortium states	Nov. 2–3, 2017
SBE meeting	Nov. 8–9, 2017

Placeholder for recap of Smarter Balanced cut-score validation meeting (week of October 30 – November 3)

2017 High School Assessment Participation Rate Information



Participation	Rates -	MSP,	HSPE,	EOC
		,	,	

	2012		2013		20	14
	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA
3 rd	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	98.1	98.1
4 th	99.9	99.8	99.8	99.9	98.2	98.3
5 th	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	98.1	98.3
6 th	99.9	99.8	99.8	99.8	97.5	97.4
7 th	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.6	97.5	97.6
8 th	97.7	99.6	99.6	99.5	96.4	97.1
10 th	97.8	95.8	98.3	96.2	93.4	94.6
	Class of	f 2014	Class o	of 2015	Class	of 2016

Participation Rates - Smarter Balanced


	20	15	20	16	20	17
	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA
3 rd	97.9	98.0	98.1	98.1	98.3	98.3
4 th	97.8	98.0	98.2	98.2	98.3	98.2
5 th	97.8	98.0	98.1	98.1	98.3	98.3
6 th	97.5	97.7	98.0	98.1	98.1	98.2
7 th	96.8	97.1	97.4	97.5	97.8	97.9
8 th	96.0	96.4	96.7	97.1	97.1	97.4
11 th	45.5	49.7	61.4	88.0	61.8	86.7
	Class o	of 2016	Class	of 2017	Class o	f 2018

2017 High School Test Participation Rate

	Math	ELA
Amer Indian-Alaskan Nat.	64	75
Asian	57	92
Black-African American	59	80
Hispanic-Latino	68	84
Pacific Islander	67	79
White	60	88
Two or More Races	61	88

	Math	ELA
Low income	65	82
Special education	53	57
English learners	73	77
ALL STUDENTS	62	87





Smarter Balanced Testing by Grade

ELA	Class o	f 2016	Class o	f 2017	Class of 2018		Class o	f 201 9
10 th	n/a	n/a	73,757	72.4	76,181	72.2	73,973	73.9
11 th	39,439	50.4	16,824	32.5	16,498	28.5	In 2	018
12th	2,170	23.1	3,229	23.9	In 2018		In 2	019

Math	Class of 2016		Class o	Class of 2017		Class of 2018		f 2019
10 th	n/a	n/a	1,140	35.4	19,222	57.9	30,018	68.1
11 th	36,254	28.9	49,100	34.5	39,016	26.0	In 2	018
12th	2,226	14.3	2,552	9.8	In 2	018	In 2	019

ELA results in 2017

10 th graders (Class of 2019)	%	N
Level 1	9.3	6,904
Level 2 (below grad cut)	8.2	6,092
Level 2 (meeting grad cut)	8.5	6,287
Level 3	39.0	28,860
Level 4	34.9	<u>25,800</u>
		73,943

11th graders (Class of 2018)	%	N
Level 1	35.3	5,292
Level 2 (below grad cut)	21.0	3,151
Level 2 (meeting grad cut)	14.8	2,215
Level 3	24.0	3,589
Level 4	4.9	<u>733</u>
		14,980

Among tested,

Percent meeting standard: 73.9 Percent meeting grad cut: 82.4 Among tested,

Percent meeting standard: 28.9 Percent meeting grad cut: 43.6



Math results in 2017

10 th graders (Class of 2019)	%	N
Level 1	12.2	3,660
Level 2 (below grad cut)	10.0	3,009
Level 2 (meeting grad cut)	9.7	2,902
Level 3	35.3	10,585
Level 4	32.9	9,862
		30,018

11th graders (Class of 2018)	%	N
Level 1	43.3	16,857
Level 2 (below grad cut)	19.4	7,570
Level 2 (meeting grad cut)	11.2	4,374
Level 3	18.9	7,338
Level 4	7.2	2,799
		38,938

Among tested,

Percent meeting standard: 68.1 Percent meeting grad cut: 77.8 Among tested,

Percent meeting standard: 26.1 Percent meeting grad cut: 37.3

2018 plan for Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science (Next Generation Science Standards)



Next Generation Science Standards

NGSS are based on the *Framework for K–12 Science Education* developed by the National Research Council.

Every NGSS standard has three dimensions:

- Disciplinary core ideas (content)
- Scientific and engineering practices
- Cross-cutting concepts

The NGSS are aligned, by grade level and cognitive demand with the English Language Arts and Mathematics Common Core State Standards.



15

Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science (WCAS)

Assessment to be administered for the first time in Spring 2018 and is based on Next Generation Science Standards

Grades 5, 8, and 11

Testing is all online

WCAS will reflect the three dimensions of the performance expectations. Each item on the test will be written to gather evidence of two to three dimensions.



Dimensions of NGSS learning standards

Science and Engineering Practices	Disciplinary Core Ideas	Crosscutting Concepts
engineering)	PS1 Matter and Its Interactions PS2 Motion and Stability: Forces and Interactions	 Patterns Cause and effect Scale, proportion,
3. Planning and carrying out	PS3 Energy PS4 Waves and Their Applications in Technologies for Information Transfer	and quantity4. Systems and system models
5. Using mathematics and	Life Sciences LS1 From Molecules to Organisms: Structures and Processes LS2 Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and	5. Energy and matter6. Structure and function
6. Constructing explanations (for science) and designing solutions	Dynamics LS3 Heredity: Inheritance and Variation of Traits	7. Stability and change
7. Engaging in argument from	LS4 Biological Evolution: Unity and Diversity Earth and Space Sciences ESS1 Earth's Place in the Universe / ESS2 Earth's Systems	
8. Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information	ESS3 Earth and Human Activity Engineering, Technology, & Application of Science ETS1 Engineering Design	

WCAS: Events to Present Time

Date	Event
Oct 2013	NGSS Adopted
May 2015	NTAC Initial Review of WCAS and Assessment Challenges
Sept 2015	NTAC Review of SAIC Assessment Framework
Oct 2015	Item development begins
Jan 2016	NTAC Review of Proposed Test Structure, Measurement Model, & Reporting
Apr 2016	Limited pilot, grades 5 and 8
Sept 2016	NTAC Review of Reporting Claims & Test Design Meeting Plan
Nov 2016	Test Design Meeting: Analysis of assessable standards and prioritization
Apr – May 2017	Field test embedded, grades 5 and 8. Voluntary, online field test for high school
May 2017	NTAC Review of Paper/Pencil Form Considerations & Future Events
Sept 2017	NTAC Review & Approval of Achievement Level Setting Plan
Oct 2017	Training test and draft item specifications available

WCAS: Upcoming Events

Date	Event
Nov 2017	SBE Briefed on WCAS & Achievement Level Setting Plan
Nov 2017	Draft Achievement Level Descriptors Developed
Jan 2018	SBE Approval of Achievement Level Setting Plan
Feb 2018	Alignment Study
Winter '17/'18	Teachers from across state trained on ALDs
Feb-Apr 2018	Contrasting Groups Study - Teachers use ALDs to provide ratings of students
Mar-Jun 2018	WCAS Operational Exam
Aug 2018	Achievement Level Setting Grade-level panels Articulation panel NTAC certifies process was followed
Aug 2018	SBE adopts cut-scores

Contact

Deb Came, Ph.D.

Assistant Superintendent, Assessment and Student Information

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction

360-725-6336

Deb.Came@k12.wa.us

