The Washington State Board of Education

Governance | Accountability | Achievement | Oversight | Career & College Readiness

litie:	Public Hearing, Proposed WAC 180-19-060 -200, Charter Schools			
As Related To:	 ☐ Goal One: Effective and accountable P-13 governance. ☐ Goal Two: Comprehensive statewide K-12 accountability. ☐ Goal Three: Closing achievement gap. ☐ Goal Four: Strategic oversight of the K-12 system. ☐ Goal Five: Career and college readiness for all students. ☐ Other 			
Relevant To Board Roles:	 ☑ Policy Leadership ☑ System Oversight ☐ Advocacy ☐ Communication ☐ Convening and Facilitating 			
Policy Considerations / Key Questions:	 Are the provisions on the authorizer oversight fee appropriate to both school district authorizers and the Washington Charter School Commission? Does it provide for adjustments to the fee based on experience and data on authorizing costs? Is the proposed timeline for charter applications in 2013 manageable for authorizers, the SBE, and charter applicants in the first year of the law's implementation? Does the timeline for charter applications in 2013 and thereafter represent best practices for quality charter schools? Do the proposed lottery procedures for certification of approved charters follow the intent of the law? 			
Possible Board Action:	Review Adopt Approve Other Hear and consider public testimony on the proposed rules.			
Materials Included in Packet:	 ☐ Memo ☐ Graphs / Graphics ☑ Third-Party Materials ☐ PowerPoint 			
Synopsis:	At its March 14 meeting the SBE approved for publication in the State Register and scheduling for public hearing proposed rules to three sections of Chapter 28A.710 (Charter Schools). The sections are RCW 28A.710.110 (Authorizer oversight fee), RCW 28A.710.140 (Charter applications – Submission – Approval or denial), and RCW 28A.710.150 (Maximum number of charter schools – Certification – Lottery). The proposed rules: • Establish a four percent authorizer oversight fee, the maximum allowed by law. • Reduce the oversight fee to three percent after an authorizer has authorized ten charter schools. • Provide for periodic review of the adequacy and efficiency of the authorizer oversight fee. • Establish two timelines for charter applications and approval of denial: • A temporary timeline for charter applications received in 2013 only, for potential school openings in fall 2014. • A second, ongoing timeline, starting earlier, for charter applications in 2014 and thereafter, for potential school openings in fall 2015 and succeeding falls. • Set procedures for the use of a lottery to certify approved charters for implementation when the number of charter approvals exceeds the limits on the number of charter schools that may be established under the law. The SBE has solicited public comment on the proposed rule through its web site, a presentation to the Washington Charter School Commission, and communications to interested parties.			
	the Washington Charter School Commission, and communications to interested parties.			

Chapter 180-19 WAC

CHARTER SCHOOLS

NEW SECTION

- WAC 180-19-060 Authorizer oversight fee. (1) The statewide formula for the authorizer oversight fee transmitted to an authorizer by the superintendent of public instruction, as provided for in RCW 28A.710.110, shall be calculated at four percent of the state operating funding allocated under RCW 28A.710.220, with the fee decreasing to three percent of the state operating funding after an authorizer has authorized ten charter schools.
- (2) The board shall periodically review the adequacy and efficiency of the authorizer oversight fee for the purpose of determining whether the formula should be adjusted in order to ensure fulfilling the purposes of chapter 28A.710 RCW. In conducting the review, the board shall utilize the information on authorizers' operating costs and expenses included in the annual report submitted to the board by each authorizer as set forth in RCW 28A.710.100(4).

NEW SECTION

WAC 180-19-070 Charter school--Request for proposals. Each authorizer shall annually issue requests for proposals for charter schools. For the year 2013, a request for proposal must be issued by no later than September 22, 2013. Requests for proposals in all subsequent years must be issued no later than April 15th.

NEW SECTION

WAC 180-19-080 Charter school applications—Submission, approval, or denial. (1) An applicant, as defined in RCW 28A.710.010, seeking approval must submit an application for a

[1] OTS-5373.1

proposed charter school to an authorizer by no later than July 15th of the year in which the applicant seeks approval. Provided, however, that an applicant seeking approval to operate a charter school in 2014 must submit an application to an authorizer by no later than November 22, 2013.

- (2) An authorizer receiving an application for a proposed charter school must either approve or deny the proposal by no later than October 15th of the year in which the application is received; Provided, however, that for applications received in 2013, the authorizer must approve or deny the proposal by no later than January 22, 2014.
- (3) The authorizer must provide the state board of education with a written report of the approval or denial of an applicant's proposal for a charter school within ten days of such action, but no later than October 25th, whichever is sooner. Provided, however, that for proposals for charter schools received in 2013, the report must be received within ten days of the action, but no later than February 1, 2014, whichever is sooner. The notice must comply with the requirements set forth in RCW 28A.710.150(2). The report shall be sent to the board via electronic mail to sbe@k-12.wa.us.

NEW SECTION

- WAC 180-19-090 Board certification of charter schools-Lottery. (1) Upon receipt of notice from an authorizer that a charter school has been approved, the chair of the state board of education shall certify whether the approval is in compliance with the limits on the maximum number of charters in RCW 28A.710.150. Certification from the state board of education must be obtained before final authorization of a charter school. The certification of a charter school shall be posted on the board's web site.
- (2) If the board receives notification of charter approvals under this section on the same day, and the total number of approvals exceeds the limits in RCW 28A.710.150(1), the board will select approved charters for certification through a lottery process as follows:
- (a) The board shall notify the authorizer that the approved charter school has not been certified by the board for operation and must be selected for certification through a lottery.
- (b) Within thirty days after determining that the limit for charter schools has been exceeded, the board shall conduct a lottery, as required by RCW 28A.710.150(3), at a publicly noticed meeting to select and certify approved charters for implementation. The board shall randomly draw the names of charter schools from the available pool of approved charter schools that have not been certified until the maximum allowable total number of charter schools has been selected.

[2] OTS-5373.1

- (i) A charter school shall be certified by the board for operation commencing in the following school year so long as the total number of charter schools that may be established in any single year under RCW 28A.710.150 is not exceeded.
- (ii) Once the total number of charter schools that may be established in any single year under RCW 28A.710.150 is exceeded, the board shall certify a charter school for operation in a subsequent year based upon the charter's selection in the lottery.

NEW SECTION

- WAC 180-19-200 Computation of time. (1) "Days" means calendar day whenever used in this chapter, unless otherwise specified. The period of time for performing an act governed by this chapter is determined by excluding the first day and including the last day, unless the last day is an official state holiday, Saturday, or Sunday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next business day.
- (2) If a specific due date is established under this chapter, and that date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or official state holiday, such period is automatically extended to the end of the next business day.



STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION RULE CHANGE SCHOOL DISTRICT FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

WSR:		Title of Rule: Chapter 180-19 WAC -		VAC -	Agency: SDF - School District	
	Charter Schools Phase II				Fiscal Impac	t - SPI
David In Estimates						
Part I: Estimates	•					
No Fiscal Im	pact – <u>There i</u>	s no addition	al impact du	e to this rule	adoption.	The following is
OSPI's analysis on	the fiscal imp	act of the leg	gislation bein	g passed.		
Full control Control						
Estimated Cash Re	•					
□ No Estimated Ca	asn Receipts	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	5 2015-17
ACCOUNT		F1 2012	F1 2013	2011-13	2013-13	2013-17
					 	
	Total \$				 	
	,			1		
Estimated Expend	itures From:					
☐ No Estimated E	xpenditures					
ACCOUNT		FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	5 2015-17
001-01						
	_					
	Total \$					
Estimated Capital	-					
ACCOUNT	□ No Estimated Capital Impact					2015 17
ACCOUNT		FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	5 2015-17
					 	
	Total \$					
The cash receipts and e		mate on this pag	ı ıe represent the	most likely fisca	impact.	
				_		
Check applicable b	ooxes and foll	ow correspon	iding instruct	ions:		
☐ If fiscal impact i	s greater than	\$50,000 ner	fiscal year in	the current h	iennium or	in subsequent
☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note from Parts I-IV.						
bierinia, compiete	entire fiscar fi	ote nom ran	.5 I ⁻ IV.			
\Box If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia,						
complete this page only (Part I).						
☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.						
Capital budget	impact, comp	iele Pall IV.				
Agency Preparatio	n: JoLynn B	erge	Pho	ne: 360-725-	6292	Date: 03/29/13

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A – Brief Description Of What the Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section, the significant provisions of the rule, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the rule on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this rule (or savings resulting from this rule), identifying by section number the provisions of the rule that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail
III. A – Expenditures by Object or Purpose

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None

Old Capitol Building, Room 253 P.O. Box 47206 600 Washington St. SE Olympia, Washington 98504

RCW 28A.710 Timelines for Rules

Rules to RCW 28A.710.090. Charter school authorizers – Approval process Adopted February 26, 2013.

	2013 Approvals	2014 Approvals
Action	Only	And Ongoing
District notice of intent to submit	April 1, 2013	October 1, 2013
authorizer application		
SBE posts district authorizer application	April 1, 2013	October 1, 2013
Closing date for authorizer applications	July 1, 2013	December 31, 2013
to SBE	(90 days)	(90 days)
Closing date for SBE decisions on	September 12, 2013	April 1, 2014
authorizer applications	(72 days)	(90 days)

Rules to RCW 28A.710.140. Charter applications – Submission – Approval or denial Approved March 14, 2013 for public hearing on May 8, 2013.

	Applications in 2013	Applications in 2014
Action	Only	and Ongoing
Last date for all authorizers to issue	September 22, 2013	April 15, 2014
RFPs (28A.710.130)	(10 days)	(15 days)
Closing date for charter application	November 22, 2013	July 15, 2014
submissions to all authorizers	(60 days)	(90 days)
Closing date for authorizer approval or	January 22, 2014	October 15, 2014
denial of charter applications	(60 days)	(90 days)
Last date for authorizers to submit	February 1, 2014	October 25, 2014
report of action to approve or deny	(10 days, per law)	(10 days, per law)
charter application (28A.710.150)		



Executive Director

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
D NOT use for expedited rule making

WATER STATE OF THE	Do NOT use for expedited fulle making		
Agency: State Board of Education			
\boxtimes Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR $12-24-053$; or		
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	Continuance of WSR		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)			
CHARTER SCHOOLS. Authorizer oversight fee. Charter school ap	uplications – Timeline Board certification of charter schools –		
Lottery. Computation of time.	pheations Timeline. Board certification of charter schools		
T			
Hearing location(s): Federal Way School District	Submit written comments to:		
33330 8 th Ave. S.	Name: Jack Archer, Senior Policy Analyst Address: Washington State Board of Education		
Federal Way, WA 98003	Old Capitol Building, Room 253		
	P.O. Box 47206		
	Olympia, WA 98504		
	e-mail <u>jack.archer@k12.wa.us</u> fax (360)586-2357 by (date) May 1, 2013		
Date: May 8, 2013 Time: 3:00 P.M.	fax (360) <u>586-2357</u> by (date) <u>May 1, 2013</u>		
11110. <u>5.00 1.111.</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact		
Date of intended edeption May 0, 2012	Jack Archer by May 6, 2013		
Date of intended adoption: May 9, 2013 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY (360) <u>725-6025</u> or (360) 725-6035		
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an			
receive public testimony on proposed rules to RCW 28A.710.110			
28A.710.140 (Charter applications – Submission – Approval or d			
schools – Process – Certification – Lottery – Notice.) The anticip	pated effects of the rules are as follows:		
1. Establish a statewide formula for an authorizer oversight fee under	RCW 28A.710.110 of four percent of each charter school's annual state		
operating funding, and of three percent after an authorizer has authorized has authorized has authorized has authorized has authorized has authorized has a supplied to the control of the			
2 Fabilish an annual atatamida timalina fan akantan annii atina makan	::		
 Establish an annual statewide timeline for charter application submi under RCW 28A.710.140(1), which timeline includes the annual da 	ission and approval or denial that must be followed by all authorizers		
	1), the date by which an authorizer receiving an application for a charter		
school must either approve or deny the proposal, and the date by wh			
28A.710.150 (2) on actions to approve or deny a charter application			
3. Establish a procedure for a lottery process under RCW 28A.710.150	O(3) to select approved charters for implementation, when the number of		
charter approvals reported to the SBE exceeds the number that may	be certified within the limits on the maximum number of charter schools		
allowed under this statute.			
4. Define "days" for the purpose of rules to RCW 28A.710.			
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28A.710.110; RCW	Statute being implemented: RCW 28A.710.110; RCW		
28A.710.140; and RCW 28A.710.150	28A.710.140; and RCW 28A.710.150		
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Federal Law?	OFFICE OF THE CODE DEMOSE		
rederal Court Decision?	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON		
State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Tes No No	FILED		
,,	DATE: April 03, 2013		
DATE	TIME: 10:44 AM		
April 3, 2013	WSR 13-08-085		
NAME (type or print)			
Ben Rarick			
SIGNATURE			
Dla Kauss			

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comatters:	mments or recommendations, if a	iny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforce	ment, and fiscal
-	roponent: (person or organization) S	State Board of Education	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
Name of ag	gency personnel responsible for:	Office Leading	Dhara
Drofting	Name Jack Archer	Office Location	Phone (260) 725 6025
		Old Capitol Building, 600 Washington Street S.E. Olympia, WA	(360) 725-6035
	ionBen Rarick	Old Capitol Building, 600 Washington Street S.E. Olympia, WA	(360) 725-6025
	t Ben Rarick	Old Capitol Building, 600 Washington Street S.E. Olympia, WA	(360) 725-6025
		ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has r section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district
⊠ Yes.	Attach copy of small business econ	nomic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ement.
,	A copy of the statement may be obta Name: JoLynn Berge Address: Old Capitol Building, 600 V	•	
□ No. I	phone (360) 725-6292 fax () e-mail jolynn.berge@k12.wa.us Explain why no statement was prepa	ared.	
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?	
☐ Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis Name: Address:	s may be obtained by contacting:	
	phone () fax () e-mail		
⊠ No:	Please explain: None Required		